

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/736,765	12/16/2003	Ram Huggahalli	P17381	5720		
28062 75	90 09/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	MASCHOFF, TALWAL	FRANKLIN,	FRANKLIN, RICHARD B			
5 ELM STREET NEW CANAAN, CT 06840			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2181			
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/736,765	HUGGAHALLI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard Franklin	2181		

Deloie a	ic i ming of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Richard Franklin	2181				
The M	AILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILE	D 24 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
this applicat places the a	as filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or ion, applicant must timely file one of the folloupplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Noter Continued Examination (RCE) in complians:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
	od for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
no event	od for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this / , however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
	r Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or DNTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		: FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time r nave been filed is th under 37 CFR 1.17(set forth in (b) above	may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date to date for purposes of determining the period of exalpsis is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the expiration date. Any reply received by the Office late ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the No a Notice of	tice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS		I de la companya de l					
(a) 🛛 They	ed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, raise new issues that would require further co raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They	are not deemed to place the application in be al; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
	present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.				
NOT	E: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	I16 and 41.33(a)).					
	ments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ————					
non-allowab	• •	·	•	-			
how the nev	es of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) or amended claims would be rejected is pro of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) obj	ected to:						
Claim(s) rej							
	hdrawn from consideration: THER EVIDENCE						
	t or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	ot be entered			
because ap	plicant failed to provide a showing of good ar lier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
entered bed	t or other evidence filed after the date of filing ause the affidavit or other evidence failed to lood and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
	RECONSIDERATION/OTHER st for reconsidered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce hecause:			
	st for reconsideration has been considered by	at does to replace the application in		•			
	ttached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	- futz m	. Harry			
			FRITZ FLEMIN				
		Sl	JPERVISORY PATENT				
			TECHNOLOGY CENTI				
			9/7/1/25) b			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 12 and 20 have been amended to include new limitations not considered at the close of prosecution and not examined in the Final Office Action, therefore requiring further search and consideration. It appears that the final rejection of claim 1 and newly added claim 22 would be overcome by Applicant's statement of common ownership.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's statement of common ownership does not show good and sufficient reasons why the evidence was not earlier presented. The same grounds of rejection used in the Final Office Action were relied upon in the Non-Final Office Action. However, Applicant did not submit the evidence of common ownership after the Non-Final Office Action. Applicant has not stated why the statement of common ownership was not submitted earlier, after the Non-Final Rejection, and why it is necessary to submit the statement at this time.